

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20251 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/824,838	09/824,838 04/04/2001		Romano Mark	8932-392	2746
20582	7590	04/17/2003			
PENNIE & 1		DS LLP	EXAMINER		
1667 K STRE SUITE 1000	ETNW		SMITH, JAMES G		
WASHINGTO	ON. DC	20006	<u> </u>		
***************************************	51., 20	20000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3723	
				DATE MAILED: 04/17/2003	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	09/824,838	MARK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication	James G. Smith	3723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONF	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35.U.S.C. & 133)					
Status	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 M</u>							
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-10,12-14 and 16-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13,14 and 16-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-10 and 12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 	risional application has been rece	eived.					
Attachment(s)	. ,						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Palent and Trademork Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/824,838 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I invention in Paper No. 12 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 13, 14 and 16-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification on page 4 refers to a top part 23 and screw retention means 23, thus the same reference numeral is used to apparently designate two different elements. Further, the screw retention 23 is bonded, soldered or clamped into the groove 17 or 19, however this is the end that is movable into and out of the groove to engage and retain the screw, thus it is impossible to be soldered, bonded or clamped, as these terms imply <u>no movement which would render the spring</u> inoperative for its claimed purpose.

Application/Control Number: 09/824,838 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

5. Normally a claim which fails to comply with the first and/or second paragraph of § 112 will not be analyzed as to whether it is patentable over the prior art since to do so would of necessity require speculation with regard to the metes and bounds of the claimed subject matter, In re Steele, 308 F.2d 859, 862-63, 134 USPQ 292, (CCPA 1962) and In re Wilson, 424 F.2d 1382, 1385, 496 USPQ 494, 496 (CCPA 1970).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-5, 7, 8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsushima (768) in view of Ryder et. al..

Matsushima (768) shows the claimed invention except for the use of a lobed configuration for the spindle that forms a hexagon or the specific dimension of claim 12. Ryder et. al. suggests that a driver can have such a lobed configuration with an appropriate holding means. It would therefore be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Matsushima (768) by using a lobed configuration because Ryder et. al. suggests the use of such a shape as one that is well known for the specific purpose of driving similarly shaped fasteners.

Further to size the spring to fit a particular need or use is obvious experimentation to determine the optimum size for any part or tool. Also to mount

Art Unit: 3723

the spring in the lobes, instead of the concave area, is a matter of choice as the end result is the same.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James G. Smith whose telephone number is 703-308-1746. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:05- 4:35) first Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail, III can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579 for regular communications and 703-305-9835 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

James G. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

jgs April 14, 2003